

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 6345 Case file # 95-251534.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in guilty plea. No transcript.

CRM - 6178

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: David W. Hall

Area(s) of Expertise: Forensic Botany

Review commenced at: 1:20 PM (Time), 22 Sept. 2004 (Date)

File #: 95-251534

Laboratory #(s): 20927082 SUK UL BX RR

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>Malone (RR)</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: None

Testimony Date(s): 2 Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 20927082 SUK UL BX Date: 8 Nov. 1982
 Laboratory Number: RR Date: _____
 Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: Malone (RR)

Laboratory Number: 20927082 SUK UL BX RR

Was any other material reviewed? Yes No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: _____

Results of Review

File #: 95-251534 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: _____

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
 Yes No Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
 Yes No Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? Yes No Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: PWR

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-251534

1) Notes do not mention equipment, resources, Techniques.
Log of how samples packaged, but no condition or numbers of
pieces in sample. In several instances slides were made
of what? How is evidence stored in lab?

2) Results reflect lab notes, but lab notes are superficial.
Each piece/fragment/part should be mentioned with
result.

Review completed at: 1:45 (Time), 22 Sept. 2004 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.5

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review
are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 28 pages.

David W. Hall 22 Sept, 2004
(Signature) (Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: DWH